

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

October 25, 2005

Patent Number:

6,957,209

Name of Patentee:

John M. Quernemoen

Issued: Title:

October 18, 2005

Sizing Servers for Database

Management Systems Via User

**Defined Workloads** 

Customer No.:

27516

Our File:

RA 5244K2 (1028.1124101)

Attn: Certificate of Correction Branch

**Commissioner for Patents** 

P O Box 1450

Alexandra, VA 22313-1450

### REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION OF PATENT FOR PTO MISTAKE (37 C.F.R. § 1.322(a))

- Enclosed, in duplicate, is PTO/SB/44 (also Form PTO-1050), with at least one copy being suitable for printing.
- 2. In column 15, line 42 the term "saver" should read "server". Enclosed for your ease of reference is a copy of page 4 of the Amendment filed on November 22, 2004, where the wording is shown correctly in claim 7. Due to the canceling and re-numbering of claims in the Amendment, the issued claim number is now claim 1 in U.S. Patent No. 6,957,209. Applicant respectfully requests the error be corrected.
- 3. In column 16, line 8 the term "on" should be replaced with the term "transaction". Enclosed for your ease of reference is a copy of page 7 of the Amendment filed on November 22, 2004, where the wording is shown correctly in claim 16. Due to the canceling and re-numbering of claims in the Amendment, the issued claim number is now claim 3 in U.S. Patent No. 6,957,209. respectfully requests the error be corrected.
- In column 16, line 59 the term "saver" should read "server". Enclosed for your ease of reference is a copy of page 7 of the Amendment filed on November 22, 2004, where the wording is shown correctly in claim 17. Due to the canceling and re-numbering of claims in the Amendment, the issued claim number is now claim 11 in U.S. Patent No. 6,957,209. Applicant respectfully requests the error be corrected.
- Please send the Certificate to:

Name:

**Unisys Corporation** 

Charles A. Johnson

Address:

P O Box 64942

MS 4773

St. Paul, MN 55164

	Request for Certificate of Correction - USPTO Mistake October 25, 2005		Patent #6,957,209 Page 2 of 2
		Corporation (type or print name of assignee)	Signature of person authorized to sign on behalf of assignee
	<b>Ø</b>	Assignment recorded on February 29, 2000 Reel 010771 Frame 0143	Charles A. Johnson (type or print name of authorized person signing)  Associate General Counsel, Patents & Technology Title of authorized person signing
		Recorded of assignment attached.  ☐ Attached is a "STATEMENT UNDER assignee to take action in this case.	37 CFR 3.73(b)," establishing the right of the
(	Charles Attorne Unisys P O Boo St. Paul Reg. No Tel. No	tfully submitted.  A. Johnson by for Applicant Corporation (MS 4773) c 64942 l, MN 55164-0942 b.: 20,852 l.: (651) 635-7702	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Attn: Certificate of Correction Branch, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on October 25, 2005.  Charles A. Johnson  Attorney for Applicants  Signature  October 25, 2005  Date of Signature
	CAJ/ea	V	

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

(Also Form PTO-1050)

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATION OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO

6,957,209

DATED

October 18, 2005

INVENTOR(S)

John M. Quernemoen

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent hereby corrected as shown below:

In the claims:

Claim number 1, Col. 15, line 42: the term "saver" should read --server--.

Claim number 3, Col. 16, line 8: the term "on" should be replaced with --transaction--.

Claim number 11, Col. 16, line 59: the term "saver" should read --server--.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

(Also Form PTO-1050)

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATION OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO

6,957,209

DATED

October 18, 2005

INVENTOR(S)

John M. Quernemoen

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent hereby corrected as shown below:

In the claims:

Claim number 1, Col. 15, line 42: the term "saver" should read --server--.

Claim number 3, Col. 16, line 8: the term "on" should be replaced with --transaction--.

Claim number 11, Col. 16, line 59: the term "saver" should read --server--.



: 2

# Application No. 09/515,158 Amendment dated November 22, 2004 Reply to Office Action dated August 24, 2004

. 4	nardware requirements for a yet-to-be built database management system server using user	
. 3	defined workload requirements, the method comprising the steps of:	
4	obtaining at least one user defined workload requirement from a user;	
5	determining the database management system server hardware requirements for the yet-	
6	to-be built database management system server as a function of said user defined workload	
7	requirement; and	
8	outputting said yet-to-be built database management system server requirements, whereir	
9	said database management system server requirements include an expected number of users that	
10	can be supported by the yet-to-be built database management system server based on the user	
11	defined workload requirements.	
. 1	6. (Previously Presented) A method according to claim 5, wherein said	
2	database management system server requirements includes an expected effective CPU utilization	
3	of the yet-to-be built database management system server based on the user defined workload	
4	requirements.	
-		
1	7. (Currently Amended) A <u>computerized</u> method for determining computer	
2	hardware requirements for a yet-to-be built database management system server using user	
3	defined workload requirements, the method comprising the steps of:	
4	obtaining at least one user defined workload requirement;	
5	determining the database management system server hardware requirements for the yet-	
6	to-be built database management system server as a function of said user defined workload  4 of 14	

#### Application No. 09/515,158 Amendment dated November 22, 2004 Reply to Office Action dated August 24, 2004

said update SQL types have parameters including a number of records to be operated on by said update statement, and wherein said update statement SQL workload contribution is a function of said statement parameters, and . 11 said select SQL types have parameters including selectivity criteria, and wherein said 12 select statement SQL workload contribution is a function of said statement parameters. 16. (Currently Amended) A computerized method for determining computer 1 2 hardware requirements for a yet-to-be-built database management system server using a userdefined workload, the method comprising the steps of: 3 obtaining from a user a plurality of transaction definitions, wherein each of said 4. 5 transaction definitions have a transaction workload contribution and an expected execution rate per second; determining a total expected workload as a function of said transaction definitions; and determining the database management system server hardware requirements for the yet-9 to-be built database management system server as a function of said total expected workload. 17. (Currently Amended) A computerized method according to claim 16 wherein the 2 database management system server hardware requirements includes a processor type for the vet-3 to-be built database management system server. (Currently Amended) A computerized method according to claim 16 wherein the 2 database management system server hardware requirements includes a number of processors for

7 of 14